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Motivation and Goals

• Quantify water remaining in dry cask after typical industry drying operation
• Science based understanding of the cask drying process
• Evaluate range of conditions, features likely to encounter for storage of used fuel
• Develop modeling tools for utilities, vendors, regulators
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Key assembly features to evaluate

• Some key features must be evaluated per the RFP or require consideration in modeling and design of the experiment for scaling purposes:
  – BWR water rods
  – PWR dashpot in guide tube
  – Failed fuel rods
  – Neutron absorber sheet; i.e. Boral
  – Cask spacer disks
  – Trapped spaces between hardware
  – Surface area: rods, hardware, structures
Key conditions to simulate

• Vacuum and forced circulation
• Sequence, number, timing of stages in drying process
• Low/high power (simulate decay heat with heater rods)
  – 0.25 to 1 kW (likely range); may need higher to match temperature in key locations.
• Ice formation
  – Is ice formed under standard practice for difficult drying conditions/scenarios?
  – What conditions would allow for ice formation (margin assessment)?
Key parameters to measure or evaluate indirectly

- Temperature: rods, heater rods, gas (forced circulation: inlet, outlet)
  - Thermocouples, IR cameras
- Chamber pressure
- Gas composition: RGA, OES
- Gas flow rate
  - Vacuum drying
  - Forced circulation
- Water removed as a function of time
- Indication of ice formation
Major Accomplishments/Status

• Milestone 1: Test plan
• Milestone 2: Experimental design
• Milestone 3: Report on approaches to modeling
• Facility mod to be completed end of January 2016
• Mock fuel assembly with heater rods and hardware fabrication completed.
• Chamber in fabrication deliver first week in Feb. 2016
• Testing with small chamber (vacuum and forced circulation)
• Instrument testing (OES, guided wave, IR camera, thermocouples, etc.)
Facility Modification

- High ceiling for jib crane, test stand
- Power, water, insulation, climate control, lighting, wall enclosure
Mock Fuel Assembly Design

- 10x10 Atrium 10A BWR assembly
  - DU rods
  - Heater rods to simulate decay heat
  - Instrumentation, thermocouples

- Interchangeable rod position on the corner of assembly on diagonal of water channel for symmetry:
  - Simulated failed fuel rod (perforation at 175 cm in height, not occluded by spacer grid; Swagelok fitting at top for filling with water, CeO2 pellets to simulate UO2)
  - PWR guide tube with dashpot (simulated by Zr-4 tube plugged at bottom, weep holes at 40 & 43 cm height not to be occluded by spacer grid)
  - BWR water rod (Zr-4 tube, weep holes at 175 & 178 cm height not to be occluded by spacer grid, plugged at bottom)

- No part length fuel rods
- No empty rod positions
Mock Fuel Assembly

- Areva Atrium 10A design, modified
- Heater rods, DU rods, interchangeable rod
- Ceria pellets for simulated failed fuel rod
Vacuum Chamber

black=spacer grid
green=interchangeable rod
red=DU rod
light blue=heater rod
orange=BWR channel
blue=basket/box 1/8” stainless steel
brown=Boral sheet 0.075” thick
yellow=basket/rails 3/16” stainless steel

siphon tube

View ports, instrumentation ports
Chamber – View Ports

- View ports 5 and 6 (left) and view ports 3 and 4 (middle), view ports 1 and 2 (right).
- View/monitor key locations
  - VP1=Lower tie plate
  - VP2=Dashpot
  - VP3=Failed rod defect
  - VP5=Top spacer grid
  - VP6=Center spacer grid
Experimental Design
Experimental Design
Experimental Design

Sequence of Hold Pressure/Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vacuum Step, Hold Pressure</th>
<th>Hold Time</th>
<th>Criteria to Proceed to Next Step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50 torr</td>
<td>5 min.</td>
<td>&lt;100 torr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25 torr</td>
<td>5 min.</td>
<td>&lt;50 torr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15 torr</td>
<td>5 min.</td>
<td>&lt;25 torr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10 torr</td>
<td>5 min.</td>
<td>&lt;15 torr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 torr</td>
<td>5 min.</td>
<td>&lt;10 torr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3 torr</td>
<td>5 min.</td>
<td>&lt;5 torr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 torr</td>
<td>30 min.</td>
<td>&lt;2.6 torr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Heater Rod Configuration

Test Rod
Possible Heater Rod Locations

Cells blocked by lifting bale and center hub

The blue denotes heating rods.
The inner ones used as temperature sensors.

8 heated rods, heat load of 0.5 kW. Temperature distribution @ Z = 2.4 m (mid plane)
National Instruments PXI chassis with real-time controller, data acquisition cards, and graphical interface for instrument monitoring during vacuum.
Temperature Measurement using FLIR IR Camera

- Capture temperature in vicinity of hardware and characteristic features
- Used in thermal model validation and in drying model development

Experiment setup

ZnSe windows

Thermal image acquired

Measurand
Plasma Chamber and OES

- Emission spectra of dry and humid nitrogen for a DC driven plasma discharge operating at ~ 3 Torr and 4 mA discharge current.
- The oxygen spectra observed are due to the presence of water vapor in the system.
- Calibration curves are being obtained to quantify the water amount.
Water Level Measurement for a Test Rod using Guided Wave Technology

Experimental setup

Test rod (stainless steel) and water inside

Piezoelectric sensors
Water Level Measurement for a Test Rod using Guided Wave Technology

Pitch-catch sensing with PZT sensors for the water level measurement

Three received signals for different water levels (delayed in time domain when water level increases)
Near-term schedule

• Complete mod of lab space - Feb. 2016
• Install large chamber, equipment, mock fuel assembly - Feb. 2016
• Begin shakedown tests - March 2016
• Begin test plan – July 2016
IRP Advisory Board

- **Chair:** Mr. Rodney J. McCullum, (202) 739-8082, rxm@nei.org
- Mr. Houston Penny, (843) 857-1838, Houston.Penny@duke-energy.com
- Mr. Ken B. Sorenson, (505) 844-0074, kbsoren@sandia.gov
- Dr. Brady D. Hanson, (509) 375-5051, Brady.Hanson@pnnl.gov
- Dr. Joy Rempe, 208-520-3708, Joy.Rempe@inl.gov, jlrempe@cableone.net, Rempe and Associates, LLC
- Dr. Tae M. Ahn, NRC, Tae.Ahn@nrc.gov
A BWR fuel assembly, Areva Atrium-10A design, with approximately 200 kg fuel will be used. Origen-ARP was used to calculate the decay heat for fuel with a burnup of 58 MWD/kg. The decay heat as a function of cooling time is shown at right. The decay heat falls off so that after 27 years the decay heat is about 0.3 kW. Therefore the lower limit of the decay heat for this experiment was revised down. An upper limit of 1 kW is observed at about 3 years cooling.
Forced Circulation Drying – Computational Fluid Dynamics Model

- Axisymmetric
- Transient Calculations
- Mass, Momentum and Energy Conservations
- Hertz-Knudsen expression for evaporation pressure, temperature dependent evaporation rate
  \[ j = \frac{\sigma_c}{\sqrt{2\pi m k}} \left[ \frac{P_e(T)}{\sqrt{T}} - \frac{P_v}{\sqrt{T_v}} \right] \]
  \[ 0.060 \leq \sigma_c \leq 0.1333 \]
- Finite Volume Method for Numerical Solutions
- OpenFOAM Solver
- Helium flowrate of 12.8 m$^3$/hr
Reduction in Computational Cost

$T_{\text{outside}} = 300 \text{ K prescribed}$

Conduction through 110.8 mm of He

$T_{\text{wall}}$ floating, obtained from flux balance

$q = k_{\text{wall}} \left( T_{\text{wall}} - T_{\text{outside}} \right) / d_n$

Surrounding gas in between the rail and outside cylindrical shell is not modeled explicitly. Effective conduction through the gas is considered.

No mesh in the helium slab
Heating Rod Configuration

- Simulate heat distribution of a real fuel assembly in the mock assembly through discretely placed heating rod elements.
- “Hot” spots – from discrete heating rod elements – non uniformity.
- Assymetric temperature distribution at steady state condition.
- Heating rod configuration should emulate uniform symmetric temperature distribution
Vacuum Step Down Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (Torr)</th>
<th>Hold time (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial pressure 760 Torr
Total step down time 1.75 hour
Non-intrusive Detection of Low Concentration Water Vapor

Schematic of the experimental setup with a plasma discharge for optical emission spectroscopy

Emission spectra from a DC glow plasma discharge for different working gases

Exemplar DC glow discharge in nitrogen feed gas