



Idaho
National
Engineering
Laboratory

GREATER-THAN-CLASS C RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT

**Presented to:
The Panel on the Engineered Barrier
System, Nuclear Waste Technical
Review Board**

**KEN HENRY
JUNE 6, 1995**

INEC

Statutory requirements for GTCC LLW disposal were prescribed by the U.S. Congress in 1985

(Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1985, P.L. 99-240)

- The Federal Government (DOE) shall be responsible for GTCC LLW disposal
- GTCC LLW shall be disposed of in a facility licensed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

**The National Low-Level Waste Management Program
at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
provides technical and programmatic support for the
GTCC LLW Program.**

The DOE's GTCC LLW Management Program has developed technical information and evaluated programmatic options pertinent to strategy development and implementation

- GTCC LLW characterization and projections data
- Alternative disposal options
- Waste treatment methods and facilities
- Waste packaging and transportation methods
- Pre-disposal storage methods and facilities

GTCC LLW is low-level radioactive waste that:

- was generated by licensees of the NRC or Agreement States
- has concentrations of long-lived and/or short-lived radionuclides, based on waste volume or weight, that exceed the limits for Class C LLW that are presented in 10 CFR 61

Examples of GTCC LLW include:

Nuclear Utility

- Activated Metals
 - Core Shroud
 - Upper and Lower Core Support Plates
- Filters

Sealed Sources

- Irradiation Sources
- Instrumentation
- Well Logging

Other Generators

- Users of Carbon-14
- Irradiation Research Labs
- Sealed Source Manufacturers

GTCC LLW characterization and projections data are based on extensive study over the past several years

- Initial GTCC LLW characterization report published in August 1991
- Thorough update and revision published in September 1994 (report number DOE/LLW-114, REV. 1)
- Both reports
 - were based on extensive analytical and survey data
 - utilized input from expert consultants
 - were independently peer reviewed

The base-case GTCC LLW projections, through year 2035, show relatively low total volumes

<u>GTCC LLW Category</u>	<u>Unpackaged Volume, Cubic Meters</u>	<u>Packaged Volume, Cubic Meters</u>	<u>Radionuclide Activity, Millions of Curies</u>
Nuclear Utility Waste	1,140	1,350	88.4
Sealed Sources	1	240	1.6
Other Generator Waste	<u>240</u>	<u>470</u>	<u>0.013</u>
TOTALS (ROUNDED)	1,380	2,060	90

All GTCC LLW is currently stored by the generator.

Technical and economic evaluations by the GTCC LLW Program indicated a need to reassess the program strategy

- Waste characterization studies and volume estimates showed projected GTCC LLW quantities to be low
- Technical and economic evaluations of alternative disposal concepts showed high unit disposal costs for a dedicated GTCC LLW disposal facility
- GTCC LLW storage by DOE would be expensive if new facilities or extensive facility modifications were required

Program Reassessment: Major Conclusions and Recommendations

- Co-dispose utility generated GTCC LLW in a geologic repository
- For non-utility generated GTCC LLW that is not accepted into the repository, co-dispose with DOE Special Case Waste
- Establish a separate program for DOE responses to public health and safety problems with sealed sources at NRC request
- Accept GTCC LLW for pre-disposal storage only from non-utility sources, and only as necessary to mitigate potential public health and safety concerns

Two stakeholder workshops were held to gather input

- Washington, DC and Portland, Oregon
- Attendees represented
 - NRC
 - EPA
 - Utilities
 - DOE
 - Industry consultants
 - State officials
 - Public
 - NWTRB

Stakeholder input included:

- Manage GTCC with SNF for storage and repository disposal
- Waste acceptance criteria should be established soon to aid generators in their planning
- Waste disposal fee should be developed to aid in cost estimating
- Disposal fee should be less than that of SNF on a volume basis

GTCC LLW Program strategy consists of five major elements:

- Pursue co-disposal of GTCC LLW in a geologic repository
- Provide limited DOE storage capability for GTCC LLW
- Assure compatibility of waste acceptance criteria with repository packaging and waste form requirements
- Develop fee determination and collection methods
- If a need is identified, pursue co-disposal of GTCC LLW with DOE Special Case Waste

General attributes of the “Special Case Waste” (SCW) category:

- Owned or generated by DOE
- Not well characterized, in many cases
- May not fit current disposal plans for low-level waste, transuranic waste, or high-level waste
- A wide variety of waste forms (some similar to GTCC LLW)

Examples of SCW subcategories most similar to GTCC LLW are:

- **Special Performance Assessment Required (SPAR)**
 - Exceeds the NRC's limits for Class C LLW
 - Not generally acceptable for near-surface disposal
 - Current INEL inventory is about 87 cubic meters
 - Examples are test reactor hardware and skeletons from spent fuel consolidation R&D

Examples of SCW subcategories most similar to GTCC LLW are: (cont.)

- Non-Defense TRU
 - Exceeds 100 nCi/g TRU
 - Does not satisfy current waste acceptance criteria for Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) - WIPP accepts only defense waste
 - Current INEL inventory is about 30 cubic meters
 - Examples are transuranic materials received from the private sector for R&D or public health and safety reasons

Options for future SCW treatment and disposal are as follows:

- After further characterization and treatment, some SCW may become suitable for near-surface disposal
- SCW that is highly activated or contains long-lived radionuclides may be suitable for repository disposal
- Some type of intermediate-depth disposal capability for SCW may be developed by DOE