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HLW Repository Thermal Regime Conceptual Model

- Heating Period - Moisture Transported as Advection Driven Water Vapor
- Transitional Period - Moisture Transported as Both Water Vapor and Liquid
- Cooling Period - Moisture Transported as Capillarity Driven Liquid
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Figure 4-48. Gas pressure measurement from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory G-tunnel heater experiment for (a) P1, (b) P2 (after Ramirez, 1991)
Figure 5-6. Numerically simulated gas flow velocity vectors of the Fran Ridge Large Block Test at 92.5 days at: (a) 50-percent heating rate (750 W), and (b) 100-percent heating rate (1,500 W)
Summary Observations

- Conceptual models supported by laboratory-scale experimentation may not be valid for larger scales.
- Physical mechanisms present at full scale may not be reproducible at laboratory scale:
  - multiple matrix/fracture interactions
  - large-scale heterogeneities
  - perched water conditions
- Property values may be spatially dependent.
- Laboratory-scale experiment boundary conditions may be prohibitive.