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TO ASSURE SUCCESSFUL PROGRAM INTEGRATION,

THE INTEGRATING ORGANIZATION MUST HAVE

AUTHORITY

RESPONSIBILITY

CAPABILITY
M&O as Program Integrator

Authority:

- The M&O contractor is assigned the role of system integrator for the CRWM program

Responsibility:

- DOE has assigned a set of program activities whose successful performance by the M&O will assure program and system integration

Capability:

- The M&O team has the demonstrated capability for successful execution of this role
"... integrating the work of various program participants ..."

"Coordinates recommended changes to the OCRWM NWMS baseline and interfaces with all potentially affected program participants."

"Consistent with DOE approved baselines ... provide technical, schedule and budget direction to contractors ... with parallel information provided to the DOE Project Office with the exception of . . ."

"... direction provided to DOE National Laboratories, other Federal agencies, or DOE-NV prime contractors which must pass through the DOE representative."
Key M&O Assignments

- **Program Management**
  - Cost and Schedule Baseline Management
  - Program Management System Implementation
  - Configuration Management
  - Outreach

- **System Engineering**
  - Technical Baseline Management
  - System Studies
  - Strategic and Contingency Planning
  - System Compliance
  - Design and Construction Management

- **Regulatory Compliance**
  - Site Characterization Technical Direction/Integration
  - Performance Assessment
  - Licensing
THE M&O WORKS FOR ALL RW ORGANIZATIONS

AND

MANY M&O TASKS BRIDGE SEVERAL RW ORGANIZATIONS
## M&O Role

### WBS Tasks Assigned to:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WBS Tasks Assigned To:</th>
<th>CRWMS Role</th>
<th>M&amp;O Assigned Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCRWM (HQ &amp; YMPO)</td>
<td>POLICY-MAKER PROGRAM DIRECTION</td>
<td>TECHNICAL SUPPORT (TS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;O</td>
<td>PRIME CONTRACTOR</td>
<td>DESIGN &amp; DEVELOPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSOCIATE CONTRACTORS</td>
<td>SPECIAL ACTIVITIES &amp; STUDIES</td>
<td>TECHNICAL DIRECTION (TD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;COMMUNITY&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATIONAL LABS</td>
<td>TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT &amp; REVIEW</td>
<td>SYSTEMS ENGINEERING (SE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>MANAGEMENT &amp; INTEGRATION (MI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COOPERATIVE/INTL AGREEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### After Restructuring

- M&O Prime Contractor
- Interagency Agmts
- Natl Labs
- Contractors

### After Transitioning

- "Community"
- Technology
- Labs
- M&O
- Ascons
- "Outs & Coop & Int"

---

**Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System**
Management & Operating Contractor
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRW</td>
<td>Prime Contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluor Daniel</td>
<td>Surface Facility Design and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison-Knudsen</td>
<td>Underground Facility Design and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babcock &amp; Wilcox</td>
<td>Engineered Barrier Design and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodward-Clyde</td>
<td>Site Characterization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Engineering</td>
<td>Licensing, Outreach, MRS Design, QA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERA Technologies</td>
<td>Performance Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.R. Johnson Assoc.</td>
<td>Storage and Transportation Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JK Research Assoc.</td>
<td>Socioeconomic and Policy Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R &amp; D Associates</td>
<td>Systems Engineering and Modeling Support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scope:

- Support OCRWM in putting in place an improved program management system (MSIS implementation)
  - Program Management System
  - Technical requirements framework

Status:

- OCRWM management document hierarchy
- PMSM, SEMP and CMP development
- InfoSTREAM
Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System
Management & Operating Contractor

Technical Baseline Management

Scope:

- Implement system engineering process
  - Requirements flowdown and traceability
  - System synthesis
  - Performance criteria
  - System studies definition
  - Compliance assessment

Status:

- Requirements document hierarchy approved
- Technical document management plans approved
- MRS, MGDS, Transportation, and Waste Acceptance requirements documents underway
- Developing operational concept for CRWMS
- Conducting system studies
System Engineering Process

- **Petition for Rule Making**
  - Mission Needs
  - Regulatory Requirements

- **Requirements/Design Optimization**

- **License Application**

- **Design**
- **Construct**
- **Operate**
- **Decommission**

- **System Compliance Assessment**
  - Design Reviews
  - Verification Testing
  - Regulatory Compliance
  - Performance Assessment
Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System

Cost and Schedule Baseline Management

Scope:

- Implement cost and schedule baseline management

Status:

- Input to program WBS
- PMSM under development
- Supporting FY '94 budget call
- Implementation in transition
Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System

Management & Operating Contractor

The Decision-Making Process

A. Monitor Product Baselines
B. Identify Variances
C. Evaluate Impact and Develop Fix
D. Approve Fix
E. Update Baselines
Scope:

- Implement Configuration Management System
- Secretariat for the Change Control Boards
- Evaluation of all change proposals
  - Feasibility
  - Alternatives
  - Interfaces
  - Cost and schedule
  - Documentation
  - Regulations
  - Study Requirements

Status

- Developing Configuration Management Plan and Procedures
- Establishing Program-level, MRS, Transportation and Waste Acceptance CM programs
- MGDS transitioning
Program Configuration Control
Threshold Hierarchy

Level 0
- Approves any cost impact >$50M or 5%, whichever is greater, or schedule impacts >6 months

Level 1
- Approves all level 1 & 2 functional requirements and Class 1 ECPs
- Approves any change when cost impact is > $2 million and < $5 million
- Approves any change which impacts schedule > 2 mo. and < 6 mo.

Level 2
- Approves all Class 2 ECPs
- Reviews as necessary and Class 3 ECPs for Concurrence.

Level 3
- Approves all Class 3 ECPs and field changes as required.

Energy System Acquisition Advisory Board
(ESAAAB)

Program Configuration Control Board
(PCCB)

Project Level Configuration Control Boards
(PMOCCB)

Design Contractor Configuration Control Board
Scope:

- System studies for total system integration and optimization
  - Design decisions
  - System requirements
  - Issues resolution
  - Requirements change impacts
  - Feasibility screen

Status:

- System studies
  - Throughput Rate Study
  - System implications of Hot vs Cold Repository
  - MRS Issues Assessment
Throughput Rate Study

Objectives:

- Develop data to support the selection of a throughput rate design basis for CRWM system elements
- Determine sensitivities to design and operational changes

Approach:

- Use multiple measures of effectiveness to reflect cost, safety and public concerns
- Use EIA Database and computer models to characterize waste, model logistics, and compute costs and other measures of effectiveness (WSA, Interface including simplified SOLMOD, SECAM)

Schedule:

- Study initiated 6/91
- Interim Technical Report; results and conclusions of analyses for oldest-fuel-first acceptance, issued 12/20/91
- Scheduled completion 7/92
Objectives:

- Determine impacts on CRWM system elements of a range of thermal loading concepts
- Determine the range of corresponding throughput schedules which fit the thermal loading scenarios

Approach:

- Define, with DOE consensus, a set of thermal loading concepts (Cold, SCP Baseline, 1000 years dry, 10,000 years dry)
- Develop a plan for analyzing these concepts which will include inputs from M&O (MGDS System Integration, Waste Package Design, MRS Design, Performance Assessment) and from LLNL and Sandia

Schedule:

- Study initiated 8/91
- Scheduled completion 7/92
Objectives:

- Provide information for making decisions on key issues that affect MRS Title I design

Issues Under Investigation:

- Throughput rate impacts on MRS design
- MRS storage capacity
- Waste packaging location
- Fuel rod consolidation
- Dual purpose/multi-purpose casks
- Impacts of Hot vs. Cold repository on MRS design
- Impacts of storing retrieved waste packages at MRS

Schedule:

- Study initiated 7/91
- Scheduled completion 3/92
Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System
Management & Operating Contractor

**Strategic/Contingency Planning**

**Scope:**
- Provide integrated support to OCRWM planning

**Status:**
- Strategic and contingency planning process
- Plans in process
  - Yucca Mountain unsuitability
  - Delayed MRS Siting
  - Delayed underground access to Yucca Mountain
- Plans under consideration
  - Constrained funding
  - Additional waste forms
Performance Assessment

Scope:

- Develop an integrated CRWMS performance assessment strategy
- Review and integrate participant efforts
- Evaluate and develop models for demonstrating conformance
- Integrate peer review and expert judgement into the PA process
- Conduct system level performance assessment

Status:

- Integration of participants' efforts initiated
- Developed a PA strategy
- Approximately 30 models reviewed and evaluated
- Developing total system scenarios
Performance Assessment

- Waste Package
- Exploratory Study Facility & Repository
- Site Characterization
- Analysis Tool Development
- Public
- Regulation

Performance Assessment

- Design Information
- Design Requirements
- Site Information Requirements
- Predicted Behavior
- Public Expectations
- Comparative Risk Analysis
- Tools
Performance Assessment Strategy

- Base Performance Assessment on licensing and public acceptance needs
  - Predict system behavior relative to regulatory requirements issues
  - Provide risk analyses which address public concerns

- Gain scientific community acceptance through:
  - International program interactions
  - Analog studies
  - Publications
  - Institutional peer reviews

- Drive program development by:
  - Setting requirements
  - Evaluating designs
  - Identifying weak links
  - Resolving issues
Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System

Management & Operating Contractor

Performance Assessment Strategy

- Conduct iterative performance assessments to:
  - Identify data needs
  - Build confidence in methodologies and results
  - Provide assurance in meeting program milestones
  - Support issues resolution process
  - Meet license application needs
Licensing

Scope:
- Develop guidance for license applications
  - Establish licensing strategy
  - Prepare licensing management plans
  - Develop annotated outlines for MGDS and MRS
- Implement issue resolution initiative

Status:
- Licensing Strategy document in preparation
- MGDS Licensing Management Plan prepared
- Preparation of AOs for MGDS and MRS initiated
- AO planning packages submitted to NRC for comment and guidance
- Leading issue resolution initiative for the program
3.3.1 SAFETY PROTECTION SYSTEMS
3.3.1.1 GENERAL
3.3.1.2 PROTECTION BY MULTIPLE CONFINEMENT BARRIERS AND SYSTEMS
3.3.1.2.1 Confinement Barriers and Systems
a. Criteria for protection against any postulated internal accident or external natural phenomena.
Civilian Radioactive Waste
Management System
Management & Operating
Contractor

System Compliance

Scope:
- Incorporate conformance matrices as an integral part of the system requirements documents
- Implement technical performance measurement process
- Implement system test and evaluation program
- Evaluate risks

Status:
- Conformance matrices
- Technical performance measurement
- Test and evaluation master plan
- Risk management plan
- Requirements research
- Automated requirements management system
Site Characterization Technical Direction

Scope:

- Evaluate existing activities
- Technical direction for future activities

Status:

- Surface based testing requirements
- Study plan and work program job package development and coordination
- Contingency planning and annotated outline
- Test interference analyses
- Technical assessment review of seismic design basis for ESF
- Surface seismic program
Convergence of Site Characterization

Additional Data Needs

Data

Site Characterization

Design

Annotated Outline/Issue Closure

Performance Assessment

Redirect

Data

License Application

Data
Design and Construction Management

Scope:
- Title I and II designs for MRS, Repository, and Engineered Barrier System
- Title II design for ESF
- Construction management for ESF
- Title III design inspections
- Transportation system design

Status:
- Conceptual design for MRS
- Title II design of ESF (Oct 92)
- EBS strategy document
- ESF construction management plans
- ESF/MGDS interface
- Phase One casks procurement
Outreach Support

Scope:
- Support OCRWM in gaining public acceptance for all objectives of the CRWMS
- Integrated into the systems engineering process
- Advise OCRWM on public outreach strategies

Status:
- Environmental Assessment Outreach Plan
- Key issues and community concerns identification system for MRS
- Office of Nuclear Waste Negotiator support
- Outreach Transition Plan and M&O External Affairs Plan for YMPO
- Tours of independent fuel storage facilities
- MRS slide presentation
- Transportation conference exhibit support
THE GOAL OF SYSTEMS INTEGRATION

IS

CLOSURE WITH CONFIDENCE
The Tools of Closure

- Design control management processes
- Requirements translated into performance specifications
- Requirements, design and compliance reviews
- Test and evaluation plans
- License application annotated outlines
- System level performance assessment
- Site characterization issues resolution process
- Systems studies
- System & subsystem models
Civilian Radioactive Waste
Management System
Management & Operating
Contractor

Near Term Focus

- Program Management System upgrade
- Technical baseline documentation
- MRS conceptual design
- MRS siting, Outreach & EA
- Phase One casks procurement
- Performance assessment integration
- LA annotated outlines/licensing strategy
- Systems studies
- M&O QA program readiness
- Site characterization issues closure
- Strategic and contingency planning
Mid Term Focus

- Full technical direction/integration of site characterization
- Completion of technical baseline documentation
- System level performance assessment iterations
- MRS Title I & Title II design
- Assumption of ESF Title II design
- EBS & MGDS conceptual/Title I designs
- Systems/subsystems models
- Test and Evaluation Master Plan
- Configuration management
- Regular technical-cost-schedule reviews of participants
Longer Term Focus

- Updated project and program technical cost schedule baselines
- Design and compliance reviews
- Convergence of site characterization on design/license needs
- Models validation
Summary

- The M&O *is* facilitating program-wide systems engineering and integration
- The M&O concept is a significant cultural change requiring *commitment, patience and sensitivity*
- Successful inculcation of systems engineering and integration into this program will be *evolutionary rather than revolutionary*